(c) As reported by DDA no reference was made in the representation of the residents in September, 1991 regarding peeling off plaster from the walls and of window shades of these flats.

(d) and (e) Question does not arise in view of above.

Unauthorised Construction in Ashok Vihar, Delhi

2949. SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received any representation/complaint regarding unauthorised construction in the DDA Flats in Pocket 'A' Phase-III, Ashok Vihar Delhi, causing safety hazard and disturbances to other residents in the locality;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) what action Government have taken or propose to take in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) Complaints as regards flats No. 19B, 19C and 31A have been received by the DDA. Lease cancellation letter in respect of flat No. 31A and final notices for lease cancellation as regards flats No. 19B and 19C have been issued.

National Seminar-Cum-Exhibition Organised by C.P.W.D.

2950. SHRI GHUFRAN AZAM : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Public Works Department has organised a National Seminar-cum-exhibition on new material in building industry in September 1992;

(b) whether it is also a fact that despite Government orders C.P.W.D. did not include fire safety equipment including fire protection doors and windows in the exhibition;

(c) whether Government would make it compulsory for all public premises to make use of fire protection doors; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) There are no Government orders to this effect. However, Fire safety equipment was also displayed in the exhibition.

(c) Fire protection doors are not included in the list of 12 mandatory Fire safety requirements under the Delhi Fire Prevention and Fire Safety Rules, 1986.

(d) Does not arise in view of reply to part (c) of the question.

Sarai Jubira Cooperative House Building Society

2951. SHRI N.E. BALARAM : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Delhi Development Authority has received complaints from some plot holders of Sarai Jubira Cooperative House Building Society Limited to the effect that Demand Notice were not issued in order to grant of extension of time for construction;

(b) if so, the details of such complaints received since 1988; and

(c) what action has been taken on the complaints/representations of the allottees and against the concerned officers of the Delhi Development Authority?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir. There is no Cooperative House Building Society by the name of Sarai Jubira Cooperative House Building Society Ltd. in the records of DDA. However, there is a

society by the name of Sarai Juliana Cooperative House Building Society Ltd. Several complaints have been received from the sub-leassee of plot No. 23 thereof details of which is given in the enclosed statement. (see below)

(b) The main complaints of the sub-lessee of plot No. 23 of Sarai Juliana Cooperative House Building Society Ltd. are reported by DDA to be as follows:—

(i) He could not proceed with the construction work after 31-7-88 since there was no valid extension of time and his application dated 23-10-88 for further extension of time, which was mandatory on the part of DDA to grant, was not acted upon.

(ii) He had applied for time extension till 31-12-90 by his letter dated 23-10-88 which was rejected. The rejection letter dated 10-11-88 was not received by him. The delay for non-construction was due to the non-receipt of extension permission for which DDA is responsible.

(iii) He has not received the demand notices sent to him by post on 20-11-90, 11-2-91 and 15-4-91. He has also complained that no process-server ever visited his residence for service of demand letter as is being claimed by DDA.

(iv) A wrong information was supplied to Hon'ble Minister by the then Director (CL), DDA regarding the factual position of his case.

(v) He was debarred from appearing in 'Public hearing' by the then Vice-Chairman, DDA.

(c) The DDA reports that the said complaints/representations have been examined several times. A decision was taken at the level of Chairman, DDA/Lt. Governor that there is no justification for the complainant. However on the basis of his subsequent representations, the case has been taken up for review. There is no decision about taking action against any DDA officer.

STATEMENT

Representations received in Connection With Case of Shri Piara Singh Sub-Lesseee of Plot No. 23 in Sarai Juliana Cooperative House Building Society Ltd.

Dates	
23.10.88	Received directly From sub-lessee.
23.4.90	-do-
25.4.90	-do-
3.5.90	-do-
16.5.90	-do-
15.6.90	-do-
4.6.90	-do-
16.7.90	-do-
20.7.90	Forwarded by M.P. (Lok Sabha)
22.7.90	-do-
23.7.90	-do-
1.8.90	Received directly from sub-lessee.
27.7.90	Forwarded by. M.P. (Rajya Sabha)
28.7.90	Forwarded by M.P. (Lok Sabha)
13.9.90	Received directly from sub-lessee.
11.10.90	-do-
12.12.90	-do-
18.12.90	Forwarded by M.P. (Rajya Sabha)
3.1.91	Forwarded by M.P. (Lok Sabha)
22.12.90	Forwarded by Add. P.S. to Minister of Textile & Food Processing Industries.
18.12.90	Forwarded by L.G.
5.11.90	Forwarded by M.P. (Rajya Sabha)
5.11.90	Forwarded by M.P. (Lok Sabha)
3.1.91	Forwarded by Secretary to L.G.
13.2.91	Received directly from sub-lessee.
7.6.91	Forwarded by Secretary to L.G.

Dates	
1.7.91	Received directly from sub-lessee.
5.7.91	Forwarded by P.S. to L.G.
9.8.91	Received directly from sub-lessee.
13.8.91	Forwarded by L.G.
20.8.91	-do-
10.8.91	Received directly from sub-lessee.
16.9.91	Forwarded by Under Secy., Ministry of U.D.
19.10.91	Received directly from sub-lessee.
25.10.91	-do-
30.10.91	Forwarded by Under Secy., Ministry of U.D.
13.11.91	Received directly from sub-lessee.
7.1.92	Forwarded by Under Secy., Ministry of U.D.
13.2.92	Received directly from sub-lessee.
20.5.92	Forwarded by L.G.
4.6.92	-do-
14.5.92	Forwarded by Under Secy., Ministry of U.D.
28.10.92	Received directly from sub-lessee.
27.5.92	Forwarded by M.P. (Lok Sabha)
18.11.92	Received directly from sub-lessee.

Sewerage in Village Mandawali, Delhi

2952. SHRI SHANTI TYAGI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether any survey has been conducted to provide internal sewer and to construct a 100 feet wide road in village Mandawali, Fazalpur, Delhi;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) by when internal sewer and the road is likely to be provided?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) Survey for provision of internal sewer has been conducted by Delhi Water Supply and Sewage Disposal Undertaking and scheme is under preparation for obtaining administrative sanction of the competent authority. Survey for the construction of road along with Ghaziabad railway line from ROB-36 (Road Over Bridge) to ROB-16 has been completed by Delhi Administration and the draft alignment plan has been sent by them to DDA for approval. The exact date for the construction of the road cannot be given as the alignment is yet to be approved by DDA.

महानगरों के आयुक्तों की बैठक

2953. **डा० बापू कालदाते:** क्या शहरी विकास मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार ने 8 सितम्बर, 1992 को देश के महानगरों के आयुक्तों की एक बैठक बुलाई थी; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो उस बैठक का उद्देश्य क्या था, और यदि बैठक में कोई सिफारिशें की गई थीं तो उन पर क्या कार्रवाई की गई?

शहरी विकास मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम० अरुणाचलम): (क) और (ख) जी, हां। शहरी स्तर पर निगम सेवाओं की व्यवस्था में निजी क्षेत्र की भागीदारी बावत मुद्दों पर विचार करने तथा विचारों और अनुभवों के आदान-प्रदान के उद्देश्य से 5 लाख या अधिक आबादी वाले नगर निगमों के आयुक्तों की 5 सितम्बर, 1992 को एक बैठक बुलाई गई थी। उक्त बैठक का ब्यौरा सभी संबंधित पक्षों को भेज दिया गया है। चूंकि, स्थानीय शासन राज्य का विषय है, इसलिए, निगम सेवाओं में निजी क्षेत्र की भागीदारी के बारे में अन्तिम निर्णय राज्य सरकार/स्थानीय निकायों द्वारा स्वयं लेना होगा।

जामा मस्जिद के आस-पास की ऊँची ईमारतें

2954. **डा० बापू कालदाते:** क्या शहरी विकास मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि जामा मस्जिद के आस-पास ऊँची ईमारतों का निर्माण होने के कारण, इस ऐतिहासिक इमारत का सौंदर्य नष्ट हो रहा है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार जामा मस्जिद के आस-पास ऊँची ईमारतों के निर्माण पर रोक लगाएगी;